TABLE II
FRACTIONATION OF PNEUMOCOCCAL DNA

Fraction number	31	33	36	38	Unfract. DNA
a. Cells transformed by 10-2 dilution	on	489	86	61	
b. Cells transformed by 10 ⁻³ diluti	on 154	51	8	-1	163
c. DNA content	17.6	0.01	7.53	7.40	29.9
d. Specific transforming activity	43.7	24.0	5.72	4.13	≥7.3

to that of guanine + cytosine. In view of the close relationship between the chromatographic and the simple dissociation processes, it may be presumed that the same change in ratio of the bases obtains from the front to the rear of the chromatographic zone. Nevertheless, the evidence is insufficient to favor the simple hypothesis that each genetic unit of DNA reaches a characteristic chromatographic position because of a particular and characteristic ratio of bases in its molecule. It is also possible that the position and base ratio reflects: differences in some as yet uncharacterized state of various types of DNA; differences in degree of degradation; differences in extent of irreversible association among the genetic units (varying lengths of chromosome segments). Experiments designed to clarify some of these possibilities are in progress.

LEONARD S. LERMAN

Department of Biophysics*, Florence R. Sabin Laboratories, University of Colorado Medical Center, Denver, Colo. (U.S.A.)

Received May 26th, 1955

The biosynthesis of methionine from homocysteine and methylmethionine sulfonium salt*

The microbiological activity of methylmethionine sulfonium salt (MMS) has been reported by McRorie et al.¹. It was found that this compound can replace the methionine requirement of some strains of methionine auxotrophs of E. coli. Since the sulfonium derivative was as much as three times as effective as methionine in supporting growth of these mutants, it was suggested that MMS serves a role more complex than simple conversion to methionine. Schlenk and DePalma² have shown that MMS in combination with homocysteine supports greater production of methylthioadenosine in Torulopsis utilis than could be accounted for by simple conversion to methionine. It seemed of interest, therefore, to test the ability of MMS and homocysteine to replace the methionine requirement of auxotrophs of Aerobacter aerogenes which can utilize methylthioadenosine in the biosynthesis of methionine³.⁴. Methylmethionine sulfonium iodide was prepared according to the procedure of Toennies and Kole³. All other compounds used were commercial products. Both homocysteine and MMS were sterilized by filtration.

A summary of typical results is presented in Table I. It can be seen that MMS alone would not support growth of either methionine auxotroph 62 or 68. Homocysteine permitted less than half maximal growth of both cultures. However, the combination of MMS and homocysteine permitted growth nearly equal to that of equimolar concentrations of methionine. It is interesting that both cultures responded well to the two compounds although only auxotroph 68 can utilize methylthioadenosine as well as methionine. Prolonged incubation up to 96 hours or the use of very heavy inocula did not affect the pattern of results. No growth occurred in the presence of cysteine and MMS. This is in contrast to the experiments of STEKOL⁶ who found that this combination would permit growth of rats on a methionine-deficient ration.

¹ G. L. Brown and M. Watson, Nature, 172 (1953) 339.

² H. Fraenkel-Conrat and H. S. Olcott, J. Biol. Chem., 161 (1945) 259.

³ R. D. Hotchkiss, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 40 (1954) 49.

⁴ G. SCATCHARD AND E. S. BLACK, J. Phys. Coll. Chem., 53 (1949) 88.

⁵ C. F. CRAMPTON, R. LIPSHITZ AND E. CHARGAFF, J. Biol. Chem., 211 (1954) 125.

 $^{^{\}star}$ Contribution No. 32.

^{*} Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

TABLE I

Growth response (per cent light transmission) of methionine auxotrophs of Aerobacter aerogenes to various compounds after 18-hours' incubation with constant aeration at 30° C

Supplement (0.14 micromoles per ml)	+ 62	+ 68	
None	100	100	
DL-Methionine	21	12	
Methylthioadenosine	100	13	
DL-Homocysteine	75	65	
DL-Methylmethionine sulfonium iodide*	100	100	
DL-Homocysteine + DL-Methylmethionine sulfonium iodide	31	19	

^{*} Parallel experiments showed that growth of wild type Aerobacter aerogenes was neither enhanced nor inhibited in the presence of the same amounts of methylmethionine sulfonium iodide.

TABLE II

THE BIOSYNTHESIS OF METHIONINE IN CELL-FREE EXTRACTS OF AUXOTROPH 68

OF Aerobacter aerogenes *

Substrate (10 micromoles per ml)	Methionine formed (micromoles per ml)		
None	< 0.07***		
DL-Homocysteine	< 0.07		
DL-Methylmethionine sulfonium iodide	< 0.07		
L-Cysteine + DL-Methylmethionine sulfonium iodide	< 0.07		
DL-Homocysteine $+$ DL-Methylmethionine sulfonium iodide* *	4.8		

 $^{^{\}star}$ Reaction mixtures adjusted to pH 7.0 and incubated at 30° C for 2 hours. Extract added to give a concentration of 5 mg protein per ml mixture.

** Parallel mixtures incubated with boiled extract failed to yield any detectable methionine.

Bacterial cell-free extracts which could synthesize methionine were first reported by Schwartz and Shapiro⁴. Using essentially the same methods, cell-free extracts were prepared from cells of auxotroph 68 in order to demonstrate biosynthesis of methionine from MMS and homocysteine. Methionine was isolated and identified from the reaction mixtures by paper chromatography. After elution of methionine areas, quantitative estimation was carried out with a modification of the nitroprusside test⁷ and by means of a microbiological assay with a methionine auxotroph. A summary of typical results is presented in Table II. It can be seen that methionine is produced only when both MMS and homocysteine are present. The large amount of methionine produced makes this system promising for studies on the mechanism of the reaction whereby methionine is produced from homocysteine and methylmethionine sulfonium salt.

STANLEY K. SHAPIRO

Argonne National Laboratory, Division of Biological and Medical Research, Lemont, Ill. (U.S.A.)

^{***} The methods used permit the detection of 0.07 micromoles of methionine per ml of reaction mixture.

¹ R. A. McRorie, M. R. Glazener, C. G. Skinner and W. Shive, J. Biol. Chem., 211 (1954) 489.

² F. Schlenk and R. De Palma, Arch. Biochem. and Biophys. (in press).

³ S. K. Shapiro, J. Bacteriol., 65 (1953) 310.

⁴ M. Schwartz and S. K. Shapiro, J. Bacteriol., 67 (1954) 98.

⁵ G. Toennies and J. J. Kolb, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 67 (1945) 849.

⁶ J. STEKOL, in A Symposium on Amino Acid Metabolism, Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, 1955, p. 509.

⁷ T. E. McCarthy and M. X. Sullivan, J. Biol. Chem., 141 (1941) 871.